Moderating Role of Job Satisfaction on the Relation between Leadership Styles and Organizational Silence
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Abstract

The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of transformational and conditional-rewarding leadership on the organizational silence perceptions of workers and the moderating role of satisfaction in this relation. Validity of the scales was proved with Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) performed with Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). Relations between the variables mentioned were tested with hierarchic regression analyses and their moderating effects were researched according to data obtained. In the study, private hospitals active in the health sector in Isparta province were taken into consideration. Data was obtained from questionnaires filled out by 396 hospital employees and relations between the variables were analyzed based on the collected data. At the end of the study, it was determined that transformational and conditional-rewarding leadership has a diminishing role on the organizational silence perceptions of workers and that job satisfaction plays a moderating role in the relation between transformational and conditional-rewarding leadership and pro-social silence which one of the organizational silence dimensions.
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1. Introduction

Workers feel better in the work environment where they easily explain and discuss their ideas and proposals, where they put forward problems and their solution proposals in the business. They can be more productive and effective in terms of both themselves and the businesses. In some businesses, opinions and proposals of the workers are not paid attention. Workers who want to share their opinions are prevented in various ways and naturally an organization climate where in-business share becomes much less in time forms. (Kılıç et al., 2014) The effect of the leader in the formation of this climate and which leadership style is applied are important.

In the changing and developing work life, the effect of organizational success on the attitudes and behaviours of the workers has started to become different. Emerging of new organizational variables and their effects’ being revealed contributes to increase in awareness in the business life. Organizational silence faces us as a variable which is believed to have important results in the modern work life on which they have been often studied recently. The variable, which is investigated as both input and output one, can interact with many organizational variables and cause different results.

In this study, the relation between the leadership styles and organizational silence was tried to be revealed and whether job satisfaction has a role in this relation was examined. Developed hypotheses with an empirical research conducted in the health sector were analysed. Findings obtained were evaluated and practical proposals were tried to be reached.

2. Conceptual Framework

In this study, relations between the variables, Transformational Leadership (TL), conditional rewarding leadership (CRL), organizational silence (OS) and job satisfaction (JS), which were handled as leadership styles, were examined within the scope of the research model formed.

Organizational Silence means an effective and important process regarding cost and labour saving for the businesses. Whether organizational silence is effective in the productivity development and improvement programs of the organizations changes depending on the attitude of the managers (Yalçınsoy, 2017). It may be stated that many factors which are personal, organizational or which originate from the environment. (Moçoçoğlu and Kaya, 2018)

Organizational silence, which is the first one of the variables in our study, and which was examined as a dependent variable, is a situation where workers do not share their solutions or proposals with their managers after they have evaluated a problem or a matter related to work they perform behaviourally, cognitively and emotionally (Pinder and Harlos, 2001:341). Even if the term OS is perceived as a sign of loyalty of the workers to the organization, the reality is that it emerges as a negative condition for the organizations when it is defined as that workers hide them intentionally even if they have knowledge and an opinion related to the organizational matters and problems (Çakıcı, 2010: 9). When it is considered that motivation capacities of individuals with such thoughts will be less, organizational silence is a condition whichannoys and upsets workers, but which is also very common (Blatt et al., 2006: 913; Çalışkan and Pekkan,
2017: 4). With this aspect, OS is seen as a potential threat against organizational change and development, too (Daşçı and Cemaloğlu, 2016: 32-45). When workers prefer to be silent intentionally, or when they cannot speak out, they become nervous and stressful. This dilemma affects the motivation of workers negatively (Brinsfield, 2009: 62). OS has three sub-dimensions, Acquiescent Silence (AS), Defence Silence (DS) and Pro-social Silence (PS).

Leadership, which is one of the independent variables whose effect on organizational silence is investigated, is an important variable which was and has been studied. Many studies were conducted for the terms of leadership and leader and with those studies, the terms were tried to be defined and explained from the beginning again (Tengilimoğlu, 2005:2). Leaders display against events they encounter is a situation which leadership style they have chosen uncovers. Within the scope of this understanding, they have to prevent their followers from coming upon unusual situations in order to form a stable group (Hicks and Gullert, 1981:234).

In this study, transformational leadership (TL) and conditional rewarding leadership (CRL) styles were examined and they were included in the analyses. Transformational Leaders direct their followers towards success and development, teaching them that the purpose is not only to exist. They carry it out by enabling them to understand themselves and their self-reliance to develop (Yıldız, 2002: 233). Followers of transformational leaders trust, respect and believe in them (Bass, 1990).

In some studies related to this subject conducted in the literature, Transformational leadership styles come to the forefront as one of the leadership approaches which will reduce organizational silence as much as possible. In the studies conducted by Bakmunkh (2011: 101), Köse (2013), Erol (2012: 80-83) and Bildik (2009: 67-72), the results show the transformational leadership style reduces silence environment that may form in the organization.

Interactional leaders in the Conditional Rewarding Leadership (CRL) style, which is explained as one of the three dimensions of interactional leadership, (Conditional rewarding leadership, management by exception, laissez-faire leadership), utilize conditional rewards in order to encourage their followers in suitable or required behaviour and to prevent their unsuitable behaviours (Podsakoff et al., 1990). Interactional leader used their authorities to reward their followers who show higher performance. These rewards granted may be tangible or related to status. The subordinates have the required information about those expected from them (Morçin and Morçin, 2013).

Job satisfaction, which was handled as an independent and moderating variable in the study, is a term which has important effects on the attitudes and behaviours of the workers and which expresses that how happy the workers are with their works. (Mrayyan, 2005). The other definition of job satisfaction is the name given to emotional response that a worker gives as a result of his comparison he expects from his job and those he gets from his job (Samad, 2006). Whereas Robinson et al. (2000) evaluated job satisfaction as a general attitude of workers towards their works, Luthans (1995) expressed that it is a result of perception of how much the job meets the things important to them.

Under the light of these evaluations, the model of the research is in Figure 1. H1 and sub-hypotheses were prepared on the basis of the literature, in order to test the
relations between TL and OS and sub-dimensions, H2 and sub-hypotheses to test the relations between CRL and OS and sub-dimensions, H3 and H4 to test relations between TL and CRL and JS whose moderatingness is researched, H5 and sub-hypotheses to test relations between JS and OS and sub-dimensions, H6 and H7 to test moderating effect of JS on the relation between TL with sub-dimensions and CRL and OS and sub-dimensions.

Figure 1. Model of the Research

3. Method

3.1 Data Collection Method and Sampling

Questionnaire method was used as a data collection tool in the research. The questionnaire comprises 2 sections and total 39 questions. In the first section is demographic information and in the second section are questions about TL and CRL, which are independent variables, and dependent variable OS and JS which is our moderating variable. Web-based Questionnaires were sent via internet, and published ones were delivered by hand to the related personnel.

Health workers working in the private health businesses active in Isparta comprise the universe and samples of the study with the subject called role of job satisfaction in the effect of Leadership Styles on Organizational Silence. Various analyses related to the model formed under the light of usable 396 questionnaires (n=396) obtained from the samples were performed. 396-person sample size reached in the study was seen to represent the universe with 0,99 reliability and 0,10 sampling error (Yazıcıoğlu and Erdoğan, 2004: 49-50). After confirmatory factor analyses, hypotheses and moderating effects were tested with hierarchic regression analysis with three stages proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986). Moderating effects were tested with regression curves. Hypotheses and the structured research model are in Figure 1.
3.2. Scales of the Research:

3.2.1. Organizational Silence Scale: When measuring the OS levels of the workers, OS scale developed by Van Dyne et al. (2003) and used by Cem Kahya (2013). The scale was structured with 15 questions to gauge the 3 dimensions. The scale has three sub-dimensions, Acquiescent Silence (AS), Defence Silence (DS) and Pro-social Silence (PS). The result of KMO analysis of the scale is .70 and above for all dimension and total scale. Barlett test was found to be meaningful (p=.000). Cronbach Alpha coefficients of reliability analyses performed by Cem Kahya (2013) were found to be .88, .88, .80 respectively and total reliability .74. As a result of reliability analysis performed, Cronbach Alpha coefficients for OS, AS, DS and PS were found to be .85, .87, .93, .82 respectively. Total variance imparted for three dimensions is .75.

3.2.2. Transformational and Conditional Rewarding Leadership Scales: Scales with 5 and 4 items respectively developed by Podsakoff et al. (1990; 1996), was used in order to determine TL and CRL styles in the businesses. Both scales have a single dimensional structure. Cronbach Alpha coefficients of reliability analyses performed by Bettencourt (2004) were found to be .93 and .95 respectively. As a result of reliability analyses, total Cronbach alpha coefficients were found to be .92 and .94 respectively. Variances imparted are .80 for TL and .85 for CRL.

3.2.3. Job Satisfaction Scale: 5-item scale developed by Arnett (1999) and Judge et al. (2009) was used in order to determine JS level perceived in the businesses. JS scale, which was included in the model as a moderating variable, is a single-dimension one. Judge et al. found that Cronbach alpha coefficient for JS was .79. As a result of reliability analysis performed, total Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was found to be .81. Variance imparted was .66.

3.3. Validity and Reliability of the Scale:

SPSS packet program was used for reliability tests of the scales, and AMOS packet program for confirmatory factor analyses and structural equation models. Cronbach Alpha coefficients were found for reliabilities of the scales and confirmatory factor analyses were performed to determine whether they were valid. The findings related to these tests are in Table 1. After then, correlation relations between the variables were determined (Chart 2).

Chart 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>CMIN/DF</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>AGFI</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>TLI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Org. Silence(OS)</td>
<td>130,2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,67</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acq. Silence(AS)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defence Silence (DS)</td>
<td>176,7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-social silence(PS)</td>
<td>97,2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Satisfaction (JS)</td>
<td>2,10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transform. L(TL)</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond. Rev. L(CRL)</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Goodness of fit value intervals were organized according to “acceptable” standards. Pearson correlations were calculated in order to determine the relations.
between the variables (Chart 2). Reliability findings were presented in (parenthesis) at the end of rows in the Table. At the end of the analysis, it is seen that TL and SL which were handled as leadership styles, have meaningful relations with organizational silence and its some dimensions and job satisfaction.

**Chart 2:**
Average, S. Deviation and Correlation Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ort.</th>
<th>S. S</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Org. Silence (OS)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>(.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acq. Silence (AS)</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.92**</td>
<td>(.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Def. Silence (DS)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.94**</td>
<td>.75**</td>
<td>(.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pro-so. Silen (PS)</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>(.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Job Satisfa. (JS)</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>-.18**</td>
<td>-.26**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>(.80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Transf. L. (TL)</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>-.12**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>.23**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>(.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cond.Rev.L.(CRL)</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-.15**</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>(.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not: Alpha coefficients are in parentheses.

*p ≤ .05, **p ≤ .01

4. Analysis of the Data and Findings

Data obtained as a result of the research were analysed on SPSS and AMOS programs. Within this scope, moderating effects were investigated with three-stage hierarchic regression analysis proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986). Moderating effects were tested with regression curves.

In order to test the hypothesis in the study aimed at determining effect of TL and CRL on OS and moderating role of JS in this effect, hierarchic regression analyses were performed.

In the hierarchic regression analysis carried out to test the hypotheses, TL and CRL were included in the study as the independent variables separately, OS and sub-dimensions (AS, DS, PS) as the dependent variables and JS as the moderating variable. As a result of many analyses performed, only 3 moderating effect were determined. They are in Chart 3. TL, CRL and JS were centred while being included in the model. (Cohen et al., 2003).

**Chart 3:**
Results of Moderating Effect Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Pro-social Silence (PS)</th>
<th>Pro-social Silence (PS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>.23***</td>
<td>.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLxJS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR 2</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔF</td>
<td>22.2***</td>
<td>12.6***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p ≤ .05, **p ≤ .01, ***p ≤ .001

Moderating Variable is a variable which affect the strength and direction of the relation between independent variable and dependent variable (Baron and Kenny, 1996: 1174). According to results of multi-regression analysis in Chart 3, moderating effect of JS was investigated. At the end of the analysis, it was seen that JS has a moderating
effect on the relation between TL and PS ($\beta = .10$, $p \leq .05$). At the end of analyses, it was also seen that JS has a moderating effect on the relation between SL and PS ($\beta = .10$, $p \leq .05$). Besides, the process proposed by Cohen et al. (2003) was followed in order to carry out a more detailed investigation related to the direction of the relation. Within this scope, when JS, which is the moderating variable, is low and high, meaningfulness of the relations between TL and PS was tested with regression curve drawn (Aiken and West, 1991).

As seen in Figure 2, even if the relation between TL and PS is meaningful when JS perception is both low and high, it is seen that TL-PS interaction is higher when JS is high. As a result of TL-JS interactions, 7% of total variance of the model was determined to be revealed. So, Hypothesis 7c was supported. That is, JS has a moderating effect within the scope of this relation.

As seen Figure 3, even if the relation between CRL and PS is meaningful when JS perception is both low and high, it is seen that TL-PS interaction is higher when JS is high. As a result of SL-JS interactions, 6% of total variance of the model was determined to be revealed. So, Hypothesis 6c was supported. That is, JS has a moderating effect within the scope of this relation.
Figure 3. Moderating Relations

Within the scope of findings obtained as a result of analyses performed, test results of the hypotheses are presented in Figure 4 as a whole.

Figure 4. Test Results of the Hypotheses
5. Discussion and Conclulsion

In this study, the relation between leadership styles and organizational silence was tried to be revealed and moderating role of job satisfaction in this relation was investigated. Hypotheses developed with an empirical investigation carried out on the workers of health sector in Isparta. As a result of the findings obtained, it was determined that transformational and conditional rewarding leadership styles which were included in the study within the scope of leadership styles having an important role in the work life have effects of reducing organizational silence. This finding is compatible with the theory and similar investigations. (Bakmunkh, 2011; Köse, 2013; Erol 2012; and Bildik, 2009).

It is expected that all terminal outputs, such as in-organization productivity, performance, etc. of the workers who adopt silence within the organization and who try to practice this silence in their attitudes and behaviours, will be low (Çalışkan and Pekkan, 2017: 15). Therefore, that workers’ behaviours of remaining silent should be reduced with leadership applications such as transformational leadership and conditional rewarding leadership, etc. was revealed is one of the important results of the study.

In addition, it was seen in the study that leadership styles increase job satisfaction. It was found in the literature that similar results were obtained before (Tanrıverdi and Paşaoğlulu, 2014). It was determined that both leadership behaviours have reducing roles on the organizational silence in parallel with the results obtained in the previous researches (Erol and Koroğlu, 2013). It was seen that job satisfaction, which was handled as a moderating variable, is also a variable reducing organizational silence and sub-dimensions.

As a result of moderating effect analyses, it was determined that job satisfaction has a moderating effect only on the relation between transformational and conditional rewarding leadership and pro-social silence. It is thought that this finding originates from the nature of pro-social silence dimension. As a result, an individual prefers to be silent not because he is afraid of negative results to emerge when he speaks out but because he wants to protect the organization and the others from damages that may occur in this silence type.

Within this scope, it was evaluated that the finding obtained may have resulted from that positive leader behaviours may interact differently from other silence types and pro-social silence. The study has various limitations. samples are limited and the study is not longitudinal. Academicians to make researches in the future may be suggested to study on moderating role of demographic variables in OS leadership relations.
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